

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

IN RE: LIDODERM ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2521

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -2)

On April 3, 2014, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* __F.Supp.2d__ (J.P.M.L. 2014). Since that time, 9 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable William H Orrick, III.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Orrick.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of April 3, 2014, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable William H Orrick, III.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Apr 22, 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: LIDODERM ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2521

SCHEDULE CTO-2 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	14-01548	ALLIED SERVICES DIVISION WELFARE FUND v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. et al
PAE	2	14-01920	TWIN CITY IRON WORKERS HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND v. TEIKOKU PHARMA USA, INC. et al